# Novel biomarkers for sulforaphane treated patients in ER+/HER2<sup>-</sup> metastatic breast cancer

## **Imperial College** London

Daniel Conole<sup>1</sup>, Milon Mondal<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Lanyon-Hogg<sup>1</sup>, Scott Lovell<sup>1</sup>, James Clulow<sup>1</sup>, Bruno Simões<sup>3</sup>, Robert Clarke<sup>3</sup>, Elisabetta Marangoni<sup>4</sup>, Stephen Franklin<sup>5</sup>, Elisabeth M. Storck<sup>1</sup>, Karunakaran A. Kalesh<sup>1</sup>, Lyn H. Jones<sup>2</sup>, Edward W. Tate<sup>1</sup>.

d.conole@imperial.ac.uk <sup>1</sup>Molecular Sciences Research Hub, Imperial College London, White City Campus, 80 Wood Lane, London. W12 0BZ, UK <sup>2</sup>Jnana Therapeutics, Vertex Hub Lab, 50 Northern Avenue, Boston, MA 02210, USA <sup>3</sup> Manchester Breast Centre, Division of Cancer Sciences, University of Manchester, Oglesby Cancer Research Building, Manchester, M20 4GJ, UK <sup>4</sup>Laboratoire d'investigation préclinique, Institut Curie, 26 rue d'Ulm, Paris, 75248, Cedex 05, France <sup>5</sup>Evgen Pharma plc, The Colony, Altrincham Road, Wilmslow, Cheshire, SK9 4LY, UK

### 1. Project background

MANCHESTER

The University of Manchester

• **SFX-01** is a synthetic and stable formulation of sulforaphane (SFN) being developed by Evgen Pharma plc.



al.2, we have developed novel SFN activity-based that employ a more stable probes (ABPs) sulfoxycarbamate warhead and a click handle to



#### LINT 4. SFN targets in growth hormone signalling in MCF7



Sulforaphane ABP 2

2. Quantitative proteomics identifies SFN as a direct binder of STAT1 and STAT3 transcription factors



While SFN inhibition of STAT3 signalling has been reported previously, the proposed mechanism of action is through the phosphorylation status of STAT3's activator kinase, JAK2.<sup>4</sup> Our data show sulforaphane also directly binds STAT1 and STAT3.

## 3. STAT1 and STAT3 validated as SFN targets

	MCF7 CELL LINE											
Lane	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Probe 2 (25 µM)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Sulforaphane (µM)	-	-	-	25	25	25	125	125	125	250	250	25(
Sample	PPD	SN	PD	PPD	SN	PD	PPD	SN	PD	PPD	SN	PD
α-STAT1			-			-				-	-	

	MDA-MB-231 CELL LINE										
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
-	-	-	10	10	10	50	50	50	100	100	100
PPD	SN	PD	PPD	SN	PD	PPD	SN	PD	PPD	SN	PD
PPD	SN	PD	PPD	SN	PD	PPD	SN	PD	PPD	SN	
-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	

#### 5. SFX-01 inhibits anti-estrogen activation of STAT3 signalling

ER-positive breast cancers frequently develop resistance to anti-estrogen therapies • (e.g. Tamoxifen, Fulvestrant), which is thought to be due to enhanced cancer stem cell (CSC) survival post treatment.



- SFX-01 resensitises CSCs in human breast cancer patient-derived tumours (HBCx34 PDX), treated with either Tamoxifen (TAM) or Fulvestrant (FULV), via inhibition of STAT3 phosphorylation.
- SFN ABP 2 was shown to directly engage STAT3 in HBCx34 PDX cells.

#### 6. MIF as a potential biomarker for SFN engagement in breast cancer





Western Blot analyses for STAT1 and STAT3 proteins confirming them both as targets of SFN ABP 2 and show that sulforaphane is capable of competing ABP labelling using an orthogonal technique to mass spectrometry-based proteomics across both the MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines. **PPD** = pre-pull down lysate, **SN** = supernatant lysate left after the affinity enrichment, and **PD** = proteins immobilised on the resin as a result of pulldown.

DMSO vs 1µM SFN

Employing a new method with lower (physiological) SFN concentrations, MIF was negatively enriched in a concentration dependent manner, when SFN was competed against the cysteine selective capture reagent (IAA-PEG-biotin), suggesting this protein could be used as a biomarker for clinical samples.

#### 7. Summary

- Quantitative proteomics using SFN ABPs have allowed identification of novel SFN efficacy biomarkers (STAT1, STAT3, MIF)
- Pathway analysis suggests SFN influences growth hormone signalling
- Phospho-STAT3 may be a useful biomarker for response of antiestrogen therapy resistant tumours to clinical SFN candidate SFX-01

#### 8. References

- (1) Evgen Product Pipeline http://evgen.com/pipeline/ (accessed Dec 27, 2018).
- (2) Ahn, Y.-H., et al. PNAS 2010, 107 (21), 9590–9595.
- (3) Clulow, J. A. et al. Chem. Commun. 2017, 53 (37), 5182–5185.
- (4) E.-R. Hahm et al. Cancer Prev. Res., 2010, 3, 484–494.

#### **Funding acknowledgements**



